

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
United States Attorney

2 BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 JULIE D. GARCIA (CABN 288624)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7014
8 FAX: (415) 436-7234
Julie.Garcia@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 17-463 RS
)	
14 Plaintiff,)	
)	
15 v.)	
)	STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND
16 JASON VINCENT PROCTOR,)	[PROPOSED] ORDER
)	
17 Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	

19
20 **STIPULATION**

21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, as stated on the record
22 at the defendant's arraignment on August 30, 2017, that the time between August 30, 2017, and
23 September 19, 2017, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A)
24 and (h)(7)(B). Excluding time until September 19, 2017, will allow defense counsel the reasonable time
25 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C.
26 § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

27 //

28 //

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED: September 6, 2017

BRIAN J. STRETCH
United States Attorney

3
4 /s/
JULIE D. GARCIA
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 DATED: September 6, 2017

7
8 /s/
JODI LINKER
9 Counsel for Defendant
JASON VINCENT PROCTOR
10

11
12 **PROPOSED ORDER**

13 As explained on the record at the defendant's August 30, 2017 arraignment, the Court finds that
14 the exclusion of the period from August 30, 2017, to September 19, 2017, from the time limits
15 applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance
16 outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case;
17 and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny defense counsel
18 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,
19 and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 DATED: 9/6/2017


HON. NANDOR J. VADAS
United States Magistrate Judge